International Perspectives on Capital Requirements

Discussion
by
George Pennacchi
Department of Finance
University of Illinois

FDIC/JFSR Banking Conference 19 September 2014

Risk-Based Capital Requirements Have Consequences

- Banks, and the larger financial system, respond to changes in regulatory capital requirements.
- ► Examples of regulatory capital policies that fueled the growth of structured finance are:
 - 1. Starting in 2002 under Basel I, the U.S. lowered to 20% the risk-weights on highly-rated (AAA-AA) securitized tranches.
 - 2. In early 2000s, U.S. regulators permitted "liquidity guarantees" of asset-backed commercial paper conduits that had a 10% conversion factor (Acharya, Schnabl, Suarez *JFE* 2013).
- ► This session's three papers examine how changes in risk weights or targeted capital increases affect bank pricing and quantities.

Comments on the Martins-Schechtman Paper

- Example of macroprudential regulation to curb risky lending.
- ▶ From Dec 2010 to Nov 2011, Brazil raised capital risk weights on long-maturity, high LTV auto loans from 75% to 150%.
- Brazilian Credit Register data is used to test whether targeted auto loan rates increased.
- The main findings are the
 - rise in risk weights increased targeted loan spreads by 219 basis points (bp).
 - subsequent reversion of risk weights decreased targeted loan spreads by 65 bp.
- ► The asymmetric response is consistent with a large literature showing that banks are quick (slow) to raise retail loan (deposit) rates as their cost of funding rises (e.g., Kahn, Pennacchi, Sopranzetti JBus 2005).

Comments on Martins-Schechtman (continued)

- ▶ Let $r_{L,t}$ and cs_t be the date t loan yield and credit spread.
- Let k_t be the loan's required equity ratio, r_E and r_D be investors' certainty equivalent return on equity and debt, and τ be the corporate income tax rate. Then

$$r_{L,t} = cs_t + k_t \frac{r_E}{1-\tau} + (1-k_t) r_D$$
 (1)

▶ If $k_{t+1} = k_t + p$, then

$$r_{L,t+1} - r_{L,t} = (cs_{t+1} - cs_t) + p\left(\frac{r_E}{1-\tau} - r_D\right)$$
 (2)

▶ $k_t = 0.11 \times 0.75$, $k_{t+1} = 0.11 \times 1.5$, so p = 0.0825. Also since the paper estimates $r_{L,t+1} - r_{L,t} \approx 0.0219$, if $cs_{t+1} = cs_t$ then (2) implies

$$\frac{r_E}{1-\tau} - r_D = \frac{r_{L,t+1} - r_{L,t}}{p} = \frac{0.0219}{0.0825} = 0.2655 = 2,655 \text{ bp}$$

Comments on Martins-Schechtman (continued)

- ▶ For example, if $r_D = 10\%$, then $\frac{r_E}{1-\tau} = 36.55\%$.
- ▶ The corporate tax rate for Brazilian banks was $\tau = 0.40$, implying $r_E = 21.9\%$.
- ▶ This seems unrealistic. More likely $cs_{t+1} > cs$.
- ▶ Why? When the same borrower takes out a second loan shortly after the first, total indebtedness rises.
- ► A sequence of loans to the same borrower may not keep creditworthiness constant.

Comments on the Basten-Koch Paper

- On 13 Feb 2013, Switzerland imposed a 1% Countercyclical risk-weighted equity Capital Buffer (CCB) only on residential mortgages.
 - Covers both new and existing mortgages.
 - High LTV mortgages had higher risk weights.
 - Assuming $\frac{r_E}{1-\tau} r_D = 3.84\%$, expect average $r_{L,t+1} r_{L,t} = 1.54$ bp.¹
- Great Comparis dataset on binding mortgage offers.
 - Average 5-6 offers.
 - Detailed information on mortgage, borrower, and lenders.
 - Includes bank and insurance company lenders.
- ▶ Regression analysis of before and after CCB is carefully done.
- Some findings are expected, others very puzzling.

Comments on Basten-Koch (continued)

- ► Finds post CCB, capital constrained and mortgage-specialized banks raised offers more than others.
 - ► Since CCB covers existing mortgages, these banks could raise their capital ratios by "shrinking" their balance sheets.
- ▶ Does not find relatively higher offers for high LTV mortgages.
 - Effect of higher risk weights too small to detect?
- Finds insurers raised rates more than banks.
 - Very puzzling since insurers are a "non-treated control."
 - ightharpoonup Higher rates by insurers \neq desire by insurers to raise profits.
 - Most (all?) models predict that if bank funding costs rise more, profit-maximizing insurers should raise rates less.
- Securitization of Swiss mortgages may increase with higher required capital.



Comments on the Demir-Michalski-Örs Paper

- Role of Commercial Letter of Credit (CLC):
 - 1. A Turkish exporter receives a CLC from importer's bank guaranteeing future payment.
 - 2. Exporter presents CLC to his/her Turkish bank for immediate payment less a fee.
 - Turkish bank faces default risk of foreign bank payment, with a credit risk weight linked to CLC maturity and the foreign bank's (Fitch, Moody's, S&P) credit rating.
- ▶ In July 2012, Turkey adopted Basel II Standardized approach, changing CLC risk weights.
- Ministry of Customs and Trade data has Turkish exports to 174 countries by industry and CLC, CIA, OA.
 - Assume foreign bank rating is country average.
 - CLC maturities unknown.

Comments on Demir-Michalski-Örs (continued)

- ▶ Risk weights rose from 20% to 50% on CLCs from A+ to BBB- rated OECD banks.
 - ▶ 1% greater required capital decreased exports by 0.5%.
 - for industries with above median use of CLCs, CLC-backed exports declined 68%!
- ▶ Risk weights fell from 100% to 20% (50%) on CLCs from AAA to AA- (A+ to BBB-) rated non-OECD banks.
 - ▶ 1% less required capital increased exports by 1.0%.
- ► Elasticity of CLC exports wrt risk weights reflect both Turkish bank's elasticity of CLC fees wrt to required capital and elasticity of exports wrt CLC fees. Identify each?
- Welfare effect is uncertain if CIA or OA substitute CLCs.
 - ► CLCs support 6.4% (17.9%) of exports to OECD (non-OECD).

Conclusions

- As more countries adopt Basel II/III, expect more changes in banks'
 - pricing of financial services.
 - on- versus off-balance sheet activity (securitization).
- ▶ These changes in capital requirements will provide opportunities to study the cost of equity capital and the elasticities of bank services.